## 1 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Mark A. Flagel (Bar No. 110635) Robert Steinberg (Bar No. 126407) John D. Minton (Bar No. 223823) 3 140 Scott Drive Menlo Park, CA 94025 4 Telephone: (650) 328-4600 Facsimile: (650) 463-2600 5 Email: mark.flagel@lw.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant 7 MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC. 8 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. Nathan Lane, III (Bar No. 50961) 9 Joseph A. Meckes (Bar No. 190279) One Maritime Plaza, Suite 300 10 San Francisco, CA 94111 11 Telephone: (415) 954-0200 Facsimile: (415) 393-9887 12 Email: nlane@ssd.com 13 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant 14 TAIWAN SUMIDA ELECTRONICS, INC. 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 17 OAKLAND DIVISION 18 MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC., a CASE NO. C 05-3522 CW 19 Delaware corporation, 20 Plaintiff, STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT 21 PREJUDICE AND ORDER v. 22 TAIWAN SUMIDA ELECTRONICS, INC., Date: n/a 23 a Taiwan corporation, Courtroom: Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken 24 Defendant. 25 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS 26 27

28

1		STIPULATION	
2	WHEREAS,		
3	1.	The Court issued an Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Sumida's Motion	
4	for Summary	Judgment and MPS's Cross-Motion for Summary Adjudication on June 25, 2007	
5	("Order");		
6	2.	Pursuant to the Order, the parties participated in a mediation before Magistrate	
7	Judge Edward	A. Infante (Ret.) on July 18, 2007, and reached an agreement in principle at the	
8	mediation to settle their disputes;		
9	3.	The parties have executed as of July 30, 2007, a settlement agreement resolving	
10	their dispute (the "Settlement Agreement");		
11	4.	The Settlement Agreement obligates the parties to negotiate the terms and	
12	conditions of a letter of credit or escrow agreement, between themselves and with a bank or		
13	escrow company;		
14	5.	The parties' negotiations of the terms and conditions of that letter of credit or	
15	escrow agreement have not yet been concluded;		
16	6.	The parties nonetheless wish to dismiss this action without prejudice to allow	
17	them to complete their negotiations;		
18	7.	The parties also wish to provide that, in the unlikely event that their negotiations	
19	do not succeed, their dismissal without prejudice can be set aside upon notice from either party		
20	without further action by this Court and that the action would be returned to this Court's docket		
21	as if the dismissal without prejudice had not been entered;		
22	NOW, THEREFORE,		
23	The parties hereby STIPULATE that:		
24	(1) Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff and		
25	Counterclaim Defendant Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. and Defendant and Counterclaimant		
26	Taiwan Sumida Electronics, Inc., through the signature of their counsel, stipulate to dismiss this		
27	action without prejudice, including all claims, counterclaims and affirmative defenses;		
28	(2) If, no earlier than sixty (60) days from the date hereof, the parties are unable to agree		

## Case 4:05-cv-03522-CW Document 126 Filed 08/07/07 Page 3 of 3

1	on the terms and conditions of a letter of credit or an escrow agreement as contemplated by the			
2	Settlement Agreement, either party may, upon notice filed herein, cause the dismissal of this			
3	action without prejudice to be set aside and the action to be returned to the Court's docket as if			
4	the dismissal without prejudice had not been entered;			
5	(3) If the parties agree to the terms and conditions of a letter of credit or any escrow			
6	agreement, they shall submit to this Court a further stipulation providing that the dismissal			
7	without prejudice entered pursuant to the present stipulation shall be a dismissal with prejudice;			
8	and			
9	(4) This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this action and the parties to enforce the			
10	Settlement Agreement and any further obligations undertaken and agreements made pursuant to			
11	the Settlement Agreement.			
12		Respectfully submitted,		
13		LATHAM & WATKINS LLP		
14				
15		By: /s/ Robert Steinberg		
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim		
17		Defendant MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC.		
18				
19	Dated: August 2, 2007	Respectfully submitted,		
20		SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.		
21		Rv· /s/		
22		By:Nathan Lane III		
23		Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant TAIWAN SUMIDA ELECTRONICS, INC.		
24				
25	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
26	8/7/07 Dated:	Chidialeit		
27		Claudia Wilken United States District Judge		
28				